Application No. 10/795,830 Amendment dated April 1, 2008 Reply to Office Action dated October 5, 2007

# **Amendments to the Drawings:**

Responsive to the Examiner's objection, Figure 1 has been amended to include the reference numerals 29 and 31.

The attached sheet of drawings includes the changes to Figure 1 and replaces the sheet filed March 6, 2004.

### Attachments:

Replacement Sheet Annotated Sheet Showing Changes Application No. 10/795,830 Amendment dated April 1, 2008 Reply to Office Action dated October 5, 2007

### **REMARKS**

Claims 2-4, 8-19, 21-23, 25 and 26 are pending in the Application.

# **Drawings**

Applicants have amended Figure 1 to obviate the Examiner's objection to the drawings. Support for the amendments to Figure 1 can be found, e.g., in the claims as originally filed and in paragraph 22 which has been amended to include the reference numerals 29 and 31 which are added to Figure 1 by the current amendment.

# Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Examiner rejected Claims 2-4, 8-10, 15-19, 21, 23, and 25 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0039396 to Couture et al. (hereinafter "Couture et al. '396").

Responsive to the foregoing rejection, Applicants submit herewith the attached Declaration of the Inventors James E. Grimm and Shawn E. McGinley under 37 CFR § 1.131 ("the Declaration"). The Declaration establishes that the inventors conceived and reduced to practice in the United States the surgical system called for in Claims 2-4, 8-10, 15-19, 21-23, 25 and 26 before the earliest effective filing date of Couture et al. '396.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that Couture et al. '396 is not a proper prior art reference under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), and Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) of Claims 2, 3, 8-10, 15-19, 21, 23 and 25 as being anticipated by Couture et al. '396.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants appreciate the indication of allowable subject matter in Claims 11-14.

BDDB01 4909453v1 8

Application No. 10/795,830 Amendment dated April 1, 2008 Reply to Office Action dated October 5, 2007

In the event Applicants have overlooked the need for an extension of time or payment of fee, Applicants hereby petition therefor and authorize that any charges be made to Deposit Account No. 02-0385, Baker & Daniels LLP.

Should the Examiner have any questions regarding any of the above, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned at 260-424-8000.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael D. Schwartz Registration No. 44,326 Attorney for Applicants

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(B)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to the United States Patent and Trademark Office to the attention of: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on April 1, 2008.

> Michael D. Schwartz, Reg. No. 44,326 Vapne of Registered Representative

> > Signature

April 1, 2008

Date

MS/pas

**BAKER & DANIELS LLP** 111 East Wayne Street, Suite 800 Fort Wayne, IN 46802 Telephone: 260-424-8000

Facsimile: 260-460-1700

Amendment Dated April 1, 2008 Reply to Office Action of October 5, 2007 Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

